

TO:

Chairman and Members

Park Authority Board

VIA:

Michael A. Kane, Director

FROM:

Miriam Morrison

Chief Financial Officer

DATE:

May 17, 2006

Agenda

Administration, Management, and Budget Committee Wednesday, May 24, 2006 - 5:30 p.m. Board Room - Herrity Building Chairman: Kenneth G. Feng

- 1. Amendments to the Park Authority Regulations Action*
- 2. Policy 405 Rental Properties on Parklands Information*

*Enclosures

Timothy K. White cc:

Leadership Team

Board Agenda Item May 24, 2006

ACTION -

Amendments to the Park Authority Regulations

ISSUE:

Adoption of amendments to the Park Authority Regulations and adoption of a new section regarding athletic field use.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Park Authority Director recommends the Park Authority Board adopt the proposed amendments to the Park Authority Regulations and adopt a new section regarding athletic field use effective May 24, 2006.

TIMING:

Board action is requested on May 24, 2006 in order to maintain the project schedule.

BACKGROUND:

The Park Authorities Act, Chapter 57 of the Virginia State Code (attachment 1), enables the Fairfax County Park Authority the ability to adopt rules and regulations concerning the use of properties under its control (§15.2-5704(17)). In order that public property be protected, safety and enjoyment of all park visitors assured, and consistent policy and enforcement for our shared constituency executed, the Fairfax County Park Authority, along with the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority, adopted the current Police Regulations in October 2002 with the exception of Section 6A., Guns, Bows, and Arrows, etc.

In December 2005 staff from the County Attorney's Office, Fairfax County Police Department, Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority, and Fairfax County Park Authority convened to review the existing Police Regulations, and to determine what changes were warranted at this time. Of particular importance was the need to ensure that the Regulations are consistent with current Virginia laws and County Code. In accordance with Va. Code Ann. §§ 15.2-5704(17) and 15.2-1427 (attachment 2), the two Park Authority Boards authorized publication of the proposed amendments to the Park Authority Regulations, the adoption of a new section regarding athletic field use, and set a date for public hearing.

Board Agenda Item May 24, 2006

The public hearing was held on April 11, 2006, at Oakton High School. A summary of the April 11, 2006 speaker comments can be found in Attachment 3. The Park Authority held open the public comment period through close of business May 12, 2006. Attachment 4 provides a summary of the public comments received.

No changes are recommended as a result of the public hearing or subsequent public comment period. It is recommended that the following four sections of the Park Authority Regulations be amended at this time:

§1.04 - Dangerous Devices

§1.05 - Domestic Animals

§1.07 - Fishing

§1.16 - Public Gathering

Additionally, it is recommended that the Park Authority adopt a new section, § 1.16-1, Athletic Field Use. The proposed amendments, as well as the proposed new section, § 1.16-1, Athletic Field Use, are included as attachments 5-8.

The final proposed Park Authority Regulations will co-exist with the Virginia Code and the County code and are intended to be enforced on all properties owned by both Park Authorities. The Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority will review and take action on the Park Authority Regulations in the very near future.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: § 15.2-5704. Powers of authority

Attachment 2: § 15.2-1427. Adoption of ordinances and resolutions generally;

amending or repealing ordinances

Attachment 3: Public Hearing: April 11, 2006, Fairfax County Park Authority /

Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Revisions to the Park

Authority Regulations Meeting Summary

Attachment 4: Summary of Public Comments Received

Attachment 5: § 1.04 Dangerous Devices

Attachment 6: § 1.05 Domestic Animals

Attachment 7: § 1.07 Fishing

Attachment 8: § 1.16 Public Gathering and §1.16 – 1 Athletic Field Use

Board Agenda Item May 24, 2006

STAFF:

Michael A. Kane, Director
Timothy K. White, Chief Operating Officer
Elisa Lueck, Manager, Strategic Initiatives and Policy Development

§ 15.2-5704. Powers of authority.

Each authority shall be deemed to be performing essential governmental functions providing for the public health and welfare, and is authorized and empowered:

- 1. To have existence for such term of years as specified by the participating localities;
- 2. To adopt bylaws for the regulation of its affairs and the conduct of its business;
- 3. To adopt an official seal and alter the same at pleasure;
- 4. To maintain an office at such place or places as it may designate;
- 5. To sue and be sued;
- 6. To acquire, purchase, lease as lessee, construct, reconstruct, improve, extend, operate and maintain parks within, or partly within and partly outside, one or more of the participating localities; to acquire by gift, purchase or the exercise of the right of eminent domain lands or rights in land or water rights in connection therewith; and to sell, lease as lessor, transfer or dispose of any property or interest therein acquired by it; however, the power of eminent domain shall not extend beyond the geographical limits of the localities composing the authority;
- 7. To regulate the uses of all lands and facilities under control of the authority;
- 8. To issue revenue bonds and revenue refunding bonds of the authority, such bonds to be payable solely from revenues derived from the use of the facilities or the furnishing of park services;
- 9. To accept grants and gifts from the localities forming or thereafter joining the authority, the Commonwealth, the federal government or any other governmental bodies or political subdivisions, and from any other person;
- 10. To enter into contracts with the federal government, the Commonwealth, any political subdivision, or any agency or instrumentality thereof, or with any other person providing for or relating to the furnishing of park services or facilities;
- 11. To contract with any municipality, county, person or any public authority or political subdivision of this or any adjoining state, on such terms as the authority shall deem proper, for the construction, operation and maintenance of any park which is partly in this Commonwealth and partly in such adjoining state;
- 12. To exercise the same rights for acquiring property for the construction or improvement, maintenance or operation of a park as the locality or localities by which such authority is created may exercise. The governing body of any participating locality, notwithstanding any contrary provision of law, general or special, is authorized and empowered to transfer jurisdiction over, to lease, lend, grant or convey to the authority, upon the request of the authority, upon such terms and conditions as the governing body of such locality may agree with the authority as reasonable and fair, real or personal property as may be necessary or desirable in connection with the acquisition, construction, improvement, operation or maintenance of a park, including public roads and other property already devoted to public use.

Agreements may be entered into by the authority with the Commonwealth, or any agency acting on behalf of the Commonwealth, for the acquisition of any lands or property, owned or controlled by the Commonwealth, for the purposes of construction or improvement, maintenance or operation of a park;

- 13. In the event of annexation by a municipality not a member of the authority of lands, areas, or territory served by the authority, then such authority may continue to do business, exercise its jurisdiction over properties and facilities in and upon or over such lands, areas or territory as long as any bonds or indebtedness remain outstanding or unpaid, or any contracts or other obligations remain in force;
- 14. To make and enter into all contracts and agreements necessary or incidental to the performance of its duties and the execution of its powers under this chapter, including a trust agreement or trust agreements securing any revenue bonds or revenue refunding bonds issued hereunder;
- 15. To do all acts and things necessary or convenient to carry out the powers granted by this chapter;
- 16. To borrow, at such rates of interest as the law authorizes, from the federal government or any agency thereof, individuals, partnerships, or private or municipal corporations, for the purpose of acquiring parklands and improvements thereon; to issue its notes, bonds or other obligations; to secure such obligations by mortgage or pledge of the property and improvements being acquired and the income derived therefrom; and to use any revenues and other income of the authority for payment of interest and retirement of principal of such obligations provided that prior approval of the governing body of the locality shall be obtained by an authority that was created by a single locality. Any locality which has formed or joined an authority may lend money to the authority. The power to borrow set forth in this subdivision shall be in addition to the power to issue revenue bonds and revenue refunding bonds set forth in subdivision 8 of this section and § 15.2-5712. Notes, bonds or other obligations issued under this subdivision shall not be deemed to constitute a debt of the Commonwealth or of any political subdivision of the Commonwealth or a pledge of the faith and credit of the Commonwealth or of any political subdivision of the Commonwealth; and
- 17. To adopt such rules and regulations from time to time, not in conflict with the laws of this Commonwealth, concerning the use of properties under its control as will tend to the protection of such property and the public thereon. No such rule or regulation shall be adopted until after descriptive notice of an intention to propose such rule or regulation for passage has been published in accordance with the procedures required for the adoption of general county ordinances and emergency county ordinances as set forth in § 15.2-1427, mutatis mutandis. The full text of any proposed rule or regulation shall be available for public inspection and copying during regular office hours of the authority at a place designated in the published notice.

(Code 1950, § 15-714.5; 1950, p. 1246; 1952, c. 401; 1956, c. 472; 1962, c. 623, § 15.1-1232; 1968, c. 613; 1976, c. 483; 1977, c. 381; 1981, c. 182; 1996, c. 279; 1997, c. 587.)

- § 15.2-1427. Adoption of ordinances and resolutions generally; amending or repealing ordinances.
- A. Unless otherwise specifically provided for by the Constitution or by other general or special law, an ordinance may be adopted by majority vote of those present and voting at any lawful meeting.
- B. On final vote on any ordinance or resolution, the name of each member of the governing body voting and how he voted shall be recorded; however, votes on all ordinances and resolutions adopted prior to February 27, 1998, in which an unanimous vote of the governing body was recorded, shall be deemed to have been validly recorded. The governing body may adopt an ordinance or resolution by a recorded voice vote unless otherwise provided by law, or any member calls for a roll call vote. An ordinance shall become effective upon adoption or upon a date fixed by the governing body.
- C. All ordinances or resolutions heretofore adopted by a governing body shall be deemed to have been validly adopted, unless some provision of the Constitution of Virginia or the Constitution of the United States has been violated in such adoption.
- D. An ordinance may be amended or repealed in the same manner, or by the same procedure, in which, or by which, ordinances are adopted.
- E. An amendment or repeal of an ordinance shall be in the form of an ordinance which shall become effective upon adoption or upon a date fixed by the governing body, but, if no effective date is specified, then such ordinance shall become effective upon adoption.
- F. In counties, except as otherwise authorized by law, no ordinance shall be passed until after descriptive notice of an intention to propose the ordinance for passage has been published once a week for two successive weeks prior to its passage in a newspaper having a general circulation in the county. The second publication shall not be sooner than one calendar week after the first publication. The publication shall include a statement either that the publication contains the full text of the ordinance or that a copy of the full text of the ordinance is on file in the clerk's office of the circuit court of the county or in the office of the county administrator; or in the case of any county organized under the form of government set out in Chapter 5, 7 or 8 of this title, a statement that a copy of the full text of the ordinance is on file in the office of the clerk of the county board. Even if the publication contains the full text of the ordinance, a complete copy shall be available for public inspection in the offices named herein.

In counties, emergency ordinances may be adopted without prior notice; however, no such ordinance shall be enforced for more than sixty days unless readopted in conformity with the provisions of this Code.

G. In towns, no tax shall be imposed except by a two-thirds vote of the council members.

(Code 1950, §§ 15-8, 15-10; 1950, p. 113; 1954, c. 529; 1956, cc. 218, 664; 1956, Ex. Sess., c. 40; 1958, cc. 190, 279; 1960, c. 606; 1962, c. 623, § 15.1-504; 1966, cc. 405, 612; 1968, c. 625; 1970, c. 581; 1972, cc. 41, 837; 1973, c. 380; 1978, c. 235; 1983, c. 11; 1997, c. 587; 1998, c. 823; 2000, c. 895.)

PUBLIC HEARING: April 11, 2006 Fairfax County Park Authority/ Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority Revisions to the Park Authority Regulations MEETING SUMMARY

Attendance:

Fairfax County Park Authority Board Members: Joanne Malone, Vice Chairman; Frank Vajda, Secretary-Treasurer; Winnie Shapiro, Braddock District; Harry Glasgow, Member At-large.

Fairfax County Park Authority Staff: Karen Gibbons, County Attorney; Mike Kane, Director; Elisa Lueck, Manager, Strategic Initiatives and Policy Development; Judy Pedersen, Public Information Officer; Barbara Roberts, Assistant to the Public Information Officer; Stephanie Powers, Administrative Assistant, Park Operations Division; Barbara Gorski, Administrative Assistant to the Director.

Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority Staff: Paul McCray, Park Operations Director; Rodney Prior, Superintendent of Revenue Resources

The meeting began at 7:10 p.m. and was facilitated by Judy Pedersen. Following introductions of the County Attorney, Park Authority Board Members and Staff, and Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority Staff, Judy introduced Elisa Lueck, who provided background of the Park Regulations. Elisa then presented the regulations that are being recommended for revision.

Ms. Pedersen then opened the floor for speakers to comment. The following citizens spoke:

- 1. Patricia Meadows, 5851 Quantrell Avenue #502, Alexandria, VA; Clifton Horse Society
 - Thanked us for having great parks; noted that when she kept her horse in Great Falls she was able to access National Parks and Regional Parks through the neighborhoods.
 - She read State Code 3.1-796.130, and added that she wanted to get the word
 out to property owners that they are not liable any injury or death of participants
 in equine activity on their property unless they do something that would cause
 injury according to the law.
- 2. Jen Beausoliel, 3913 Linda Lane, Annandale, VA; Fairfax County Athletic Council Mason District alternate; Fairfax Women's Soccer Association
 - Concerning §1.05 A. Cages and Leashes, she asked if dogs are allowed in parks on leashes, noting that in Arlington County dogs are not allowed on soccer fields.
 - Has concerns regarding monitors in charge of watching over athletic fields that are closed within the county. Groups that were obviously trespassing on fields that were closed by groups in the Adopt-A-Field program (noted at Pine Ridge

Park athletic fields on April 9), were told by the monitors representing the Park Authority that they could use the fields. She asked that the Park Authority look into the people monitoring the fields and ensure that they are up to speed with county ordinances, what is a playable field, what is not a playable field. They should be working in concert with groups that maintain the fields.

- 3. Rose Purple, 4704 Kingston Drive, Annandale, VA; Pine Ridge Park Watch Field Monitoring Group
 - She was at Pine Ridge Park on Sunday, April 9, at the time the police were called to ask for support the closed field signage. When a Park Authority employee basically told her and the police, too bad, and opened the field. Suggested that the Park Authority follow up on this issue.
 - Was pleased that the Park Authority was going to lower the gathering group number, especially for athletic fields.
 - Expressed concern about the word 'games' in §1.16 Public Gatherings.
 Concerned that people will override §1.16-1 Athletic Field Use, gathering of 40 people.
 - Wondered if 'organized' or 'unorganized' titles can be used in regard to these regulations and suggested that these sections could be better defined.
- 4. Chris Nugent, 9701 Flintridge Court, Fairfax, VA; Fairfax Women's Soccer Association
 - Has conflicting information regarding dogs being allowed on athletic fields, while other fields state that dogs are not allowed on athletic fields. She requested clarification on the ruling.
 - Stated that it may be difficult to enforce the number of participants at athletic field events. When police are called to enforce the regulation, people leave the field and go to the parking lots to wait until the police leave. Would like the Park Authority to address what a group is, does it include the people in the parking lot as well.
- 5. Beverly Dickerson, 11003 Oakton Woods Way, Oakton, VA, Fairfax4Horses
 - Is concerned that people that want to have a casual game of softball, baseball, or soccer with their families will fall under §1.04 A. Projectiles. Asked that the language be clarified to indicate that those games be allowed.

End of Public Hearing; No other Speakers

			Mail or		
Last name	First name	Date	Email	Category	Comment
Alicia Coleman			e-mail	horses	allow horse back riders on parkland
Lex Trumble			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Laura Partain			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Bonnie Stephens			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Lani Kastelic			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Kat Garcia			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Karen Behan			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Gayle Delhagen			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Elizabeth Xuchitl Esquivel- Hofstedt			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Mary Youman			e-mail	horses	allow horses on parkland
Bonnie Stephens			e-mail	inquiry on proposed changes	where can proposed amendment to the Regulations, Rules, and Permits, Section 1.05 Domestic Animals, be found online?
Pennington	Doris	4/27/2006 e-mail	e-mail	firearms	explain how it is possible that the FCPA and NVRPA would permit carrying of firearms in local parks
	:				impossible to enforce new rule - walk-on groups play without pay, need to implement "by permit only" field user rules - ask FCPA to make level 1 and 2 rectangular fields "by permit only", or allow no more than 10 people to use these fields without permit. In addition, any group who
Nugent	Chris	5/2/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	uses closed field due to wet conditions in Fairfax County be heavily fined.
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
Kina	uesily.	5/3/2006 e-mail	mail.	athletic fields	than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or change the rule to "by permit only".
₹ 2		22222		שמוובוני ובניים	origings the rate to by permit every .

					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
					field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
					than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Ross	Suzanne	5/3/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
					field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
					than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Veroneau	Peggy	5/2/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
					complain about way fields at Pine Ridge are being used by players
					other than FWSA and BRYC. Should not play without pay. Should
Poole	Diane	5/3/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	have a permit to use the fields.
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
					field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
					than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Rollow	Paula	5/3/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
	•				field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
					than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Julca	Erin	5/3/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
Lanman	Marv	5/4/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	use fields by permit only or if a group of more than 22 by permit only.
					unally its east amonoment as further to receipt upone of athletic
Soccer Family		5/4/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	would like to see amendment go luttner to restrict usage of atmend fields to permit only use.
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
					field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
					than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Kratzke	Tran	5/3/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
					encourage any effort to increase number of athletic fields in Fairfax
					County, as well as efforts to preserve the ones we already have.
Brauch	Steve and Meredith	5/4/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	Support efforts to require permits for use of fields.
McEntire	Donna	5/4/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
Lamb	Sandy and Jay	5/4/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
					field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
					than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Chagnot	Roberta	5/3/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
,					

				75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
	•			field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
				than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Bowman	Janna	5/3/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
				75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
				field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
				than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Fantacone	Deborah	5/3/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only"
				75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
				field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
				than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Phelan	Amy	5/3/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
Rutherford	Mary	5/5/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
				75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
		••••		field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
				than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Massie	Margaret	5/5/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
Hunt	Nancy and Thomas	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
				75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
				field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
				than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Potosnak	Brenda	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
Filsoof	Carly	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
				75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk ons don't adhere to bad weather
				field closings and destroy our fields. Organized walk-on groups of more
				than 22 should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower to 22, or
Beck	Cynthia	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	change the rule to "by permit only".
Nelson	Alison	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
le le	Ngoc	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
Riehl Family		5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
Macklin	Debra	5/4/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	amendment should go further to restrict usage to permit only use.
				the vertice of activities and provided to a for the provided to the provided t
				73 to 40 is of the fields. Will be just as impossible to enforce. If lay but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level I amuz tuli
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Meleski	Mark	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10. additional policing is also important.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Grover	Kristy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Mullins	Jacalyn	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Akridge	Debbie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Powers	Diane and Andy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			-		not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
-					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Barnes	Chris	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Desai	Anjana	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without permit should be fined.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			•		not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 furf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
,			-		signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Jacobs	Lisa	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
,					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and pay
Keflemariam	Belay	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit
					should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without
					permit should be fined. If you choose to ignore the youth sports
					community and keep the illegal walk on use numbers high, then you
					must eliminate the county tax per player for permitted use. Also must
					have access to park authority staff to help us volunteers resolve these
Ohm	Connie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	problems and confrontations in a timely fashion.
					overuse is causing many injuries. Why isn't everyone required to have
					a permit to use the fields. Restore our fields to permit only and post
					field user rule signs. On any field, the number of players allowed to
					play without a permit should be restricted to 10. groups caught violating
McNamara	Lymn	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
McLellan	Melanie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					Supports lowering the number of players to 22. There is no reason
					more than 22 people would be on the field unless they were playing an
					organized game which should have been officially permitted. Please
Ruff	Dana	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	impose stronger limits.

Plaska	Mr. and Mrs.	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without permit should be fined.
Caputo	Ed	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating should be fined.
Press	Рат	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without permit should be fined.
Wright	Felicia	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Fischer	Penny and Chuck	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way yo restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Schoen	Christine	5/11/2006 e-mail	 athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.

		1			75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
Spratt	Beth	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Seunijens	Krist	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Strosser	Alisa	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Mannucci	Jerry and Cynthia	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without permit should be fined.
Littlefield	Jay	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Mullet	Serena	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Seal	Michelle	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-πaii	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turi
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Joiner	Chris and Jennie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Dickens	John	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Stach	David	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
70.0					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Winans	Mark	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Feng	Jing	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Meza	Jose	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Hunt	Wendy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
:					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Atluru	Kalyani	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
441 In					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and pay
Terrazas	German	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Richbourg	Holly	5/11/2006[e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Schwarz	Karl and Linda	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
****					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Stephenas	Bonnie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				i	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
		•			signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Kapfer	Patty	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Grilli	Brandon	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 in of no hole Will he inch on immensible to endeader Director's
					13 to 40 is of not help. Will be just as introssible to emote: Flay but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
• 4					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Cepak	Chuck	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Richbourg	uim	5/11/R6	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Pelishek	Ann	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Marshall	Bill	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only wayt o restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Moore	Kevin	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Lemon	Sheri	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

lberkak	Reda	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Roland	Charlotte	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only wayt o restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Page Becht	Meredith	5/10/2006 e-mail 5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined. rule change falls short of strict regulations needed to improve field conditions for permitted users.
McLaughlin	Terrance and Kathryn	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Marple	Ralph	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating should be fined.

Doran	Susan	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without permit should be fined.
Harkes	Cindi	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating should be fined.
Arnett	Courtney	5/10/2006 e-mail	300	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Welch	Sharon	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating should be fined.
Hummelt	Bernard	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.

				-	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Grouns violating nolicy and pay without
					permit should be fined. If you choose to ignore the youth sports
					community and keep the illegal walk on use numbers high, then you must eliminate the county tax ner player for permitted use. Also must
					have access to park authority staff to help us volunteers resolve these
Thomas	Gene	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	problems and confrontations in a timely fashion.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Aurora	Dinesh	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
	-				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Ehreth	Eileen	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
			•		75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
			·		to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit
					should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and pay without
					permit should be fined, if you choose to ignore the youth sports
					community and keep the illegal walk use numbers high, then you must
					eliminate the county tax per player for permitted use. also must have
					access to park authority staff to help us volunteers resolve these
Gai	Mia	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	problems and confrontations in a timely fashion.

					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Yorks	Mimi	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On ayn other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Ozulu	Ilhan	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Andersen	Frank	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Cushing	Kenneth	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
				1	field he plays on has lots of holes and ruts. Twisted ankle b/c it oot
Harvev	Kyle	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	stuck in hole. Please consider limiting number of "walk ons" to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			•		not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Welker	David	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without permit should be fined.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Graham	Mary Anne	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Araneda	Paula	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and pay
Singleton	Gisele	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
		•			current field conditions cause many injuries. Very serious p problem
					with "walk ons". 75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to
					enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural
					Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post
					field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed
Clark	Jim	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Tynes-Young	Lydia	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Terny	Jody	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without permit should be fined.

					75 to 40 is not good enough. Walk on groups of more than 22 should
					be required to have a permit and pay. Lower number to 22, or change
Burkett	Barbara	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	the rule to "by permit only"
:					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On an yother field, the number of players allowed to play without
Bostic	Jennifer	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Quartana	Kellie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			٠		not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Tweddle Family		5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
		•			75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
	-		•		not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
			-		signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Westbrook	Michelle	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
	-				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Carnahan	Robert	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
•					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
-					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Repczynski	Thomas	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without permit should be fined.

Smith	Jessica	5/11/2006 e-mail	е-ша <u>і</u>	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without permit should be fined.
Pryor	Nina	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Turner	Cathy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athietic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and pay without permit should be fined.
Kiley	Angela	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Mihm	Patricia	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Papanicolas	Debbie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
McCarthy	Madeline	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On ayn other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Ueltschi	John	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
O'Grodnick	Jill	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
		•			to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
			***		restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
至	Irene	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
			•		signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Vidaurre	Jonathan	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Dodds	Harold	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On ayn other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Skowron	Joe	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Burkher	Jeff and Denise	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Perkins	Mary Anne	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				1	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Hull	Kathy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Sternberg	Todd	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Atluru	Kalyani	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is not good enough. Organized walk on group of more than 22
					should be required to have a permit and pay. Lower number of people
					who can use a field without permit to 22, or change the rule to "by
Falletti	Angie	5/8/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	permit only".
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Gregg	Lori	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On ayn other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Yuan	Angela	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
-					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Titzer	Donna	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Blake	Linda	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Fuentes	Yanira	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					Rupports lowering number of players to 22. please impose stronger
Ruff	Dana	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	limits.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
			· •		restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Horsham	Carl	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
King	Myron	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Clingman	Sandra	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
-					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Ziadi	Jackie	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Liskey	Lisa	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Young Family		5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy should be
Sullivan	Marianna	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
	••				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Heratsch	Volkmar	5/9/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Jones	Pam	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

				T. 1
				75 to 40 is of no neip. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Miller	Marie	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Pollard	Deborah	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Carney	Lisa	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
				a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
O'Kane	Patrick	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	without permit should be fined.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•		fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
				a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
White	Caitlyn	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Johnson	Justin	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Dodds	Keri	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Cox	Mark	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
			;		only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to
					restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any
					other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit
Phillips	Mina	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
	·				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On ayn other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Torpey	Paul	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Bnaga	Srinivas	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Vogel	John	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
)					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Gibson	Melissa	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no belo Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
		•			signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Vogel	Hannah	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Grilli	Rodney	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Harman	Douglas	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Lam	Quang	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Ridenour	William	5/11/2006 e-mail	mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Brookhart	Carolyn and John	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Johnson	Justin	5/11/2006 e-mail	mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

Uplinger	Drew	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
19310	Adam	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
1002				;	signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
		1			not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
i na	Michelle	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mai	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Vocal	# 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1	;		signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
	nendren	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Hendelman	1	7			signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
Garber	Sandy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					referenced to 22, and a 2014 person on the lieud indicates they have a
					rectricted to 22 k/s = 222 = 2
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
Tarig	Yan	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but

					TE to 40 in at no hale 14till be inst as impaceible to enforce Dlay but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Abell	Sean	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Cruz	Kenny	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs, on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
LaRuffa	Kathy	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
			•		fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
		*			signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Nobie	Dan	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		-			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Zorro	Shannon	5/11/2006 e-mail	-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Kolonich	Joseph	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Olsen	Bonnie	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turn fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Cteaner	Coot	5/11/2006 p.m.si		othletic fields	signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
		20071110	T	2000	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turi
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Hickman	Michelle	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Wruck	Liz	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Scudder	Duff	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Cumber	Kathy	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Vogel	Rachael	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
			•		fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Albertson	Tom	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. Gropus with uniforms and refs should be required to file for
					permits. on any other field, number of players allowed to play without a
					permit should be restricted to non-competitive recreational use only, 10-
Delaney	Veronica	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	18 players max on a field.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Lazor	Kathleen	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Bawcombe	Sarah	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
声	Tom and Sue	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				,	signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Nisbet	Allison	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
		,			signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Mamatas	Emanuel and Virginia	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
	-				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Kibbe	David	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Meyers	Rich and Tammy	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Ridenour	William	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Nolton	Diana	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 furf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Gallant	Jane	5/11/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Kruse	Jason	5/11/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Lingat	Lisa	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	group of 40 needs to play "by permit only" with posted field user rule sign. For players without permit, the number should be restricted to 10.
Dorgan	Laurie	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Ribble	John	5/9/2006 e-mail	 	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Heratsch	Volkmar	5/9/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Jordan	Jennifer	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.
Yang	Мау	5/10/2006 e-mail		athietic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.

	,			75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Chishoim	DeAnna	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic rields	la permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Economon	Paul	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Hester	Sharon	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
			· · ·	pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
				to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
				any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
				restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
				referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Uber	Cynthia	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
-				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Krause	Dee Dee	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			· · · · · ·	not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Houlgate	Kelly	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Deopujari	Jayant	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

	-		1		75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Simonetti	Art	5/12/2006 e-mail	a-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Apte	Vijay	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
		-			75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
	•				restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Ninomiva	goil	5/12/2008 o-mail	ien-d	athletic fields	should be fined
200	201	2004			75 to 40 is of no helm Will he just as immossible to enforce. Play but
					10 10 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
		1			not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turn
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Kane	Nancy	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
		-			75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Gallagher	Dawn	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
2					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Burke	John	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
]		

				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Arsenault	James	5/10/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.
	i			75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Allgaier	Beth	5/10/2006 e-mail	 athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Howley	Mark	5/10/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Springer	Theresa	5/10/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.
Lisk	Nancy	5/10/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Schupp	Kaylin	5/10/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.

Schrantz	Len	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. Only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. On any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. A plan should be created to monitor and enforce field use restrictions during the week and on weekends and a process for reporting violations should be created.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. A plan should be created to monitor and enforce field use restrictions during the week and on weekends
Duston	Mark	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	and a process for reporting violations should be created.
Potts	ulia	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
		, ,			not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Schupp	Alaina	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Isbeli	Patrice	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
ied.co	Rrian	7/2008 e-mail		athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs, on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a nermit should he restricted to 10.
	Dilair	2/10/2000		מוו ווכוור ווכותי	a permit anoma de reconnece to re-

				V	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			-		not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Schupp	Cameron	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Anwari	Shakila	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
***					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Rice	Jim	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			•		not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				•	signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Allen	Amanda	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		,			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Hetland	Arline	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
•					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Collar	Nancy	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

Gai	David	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined. otherwise, at the very least, eliminate the county tax per player for permitted use.
Pierce	Paula	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.
Micklus	Tait	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Hall	Meghan	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing without a permit should be fined.
Chean	Deborah	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.
Curtin	Michelle	5/12/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating should be fined.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Trencher	Emily	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
van	May	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Eisnaugle	Susan	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Barrett	Amy	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
	Mary Anne	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					proposal is not enforceable. Proposal will only be hurting those who do
					pay user fees and sign up for use. Unless there is a way to restrict the
					walk on players and monitor the fields, this proposal will not be
Gardiner	Robin	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	effective.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
			···		fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
			•		signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Witmer	Dee	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			•		not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Utter	Karen	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Mahoney	Jeanne	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
	· ·				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
•					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Rhinehart	Laura	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
				-	restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
,					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Rixon	Wanda	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Seltzer	Krista	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Mondloch	Carrie	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Jordan	Connie	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Benedict	Colleen	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Marthinsen	Dana	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
		- · ·			referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Falkenstein	Lynda	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Mann	Margaret	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
		****			restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
***					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Farris	Jeanne	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	should be fined.

					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Hansen	Melany	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
Suhr	Carolyn and John	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	anyone who uses athletic fields should pay the same amount.
Sternberg Family		5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	would like to see amendment go further restrict usage of athletic fields to permit only use. Requiring permits would limit unauthorized use.
					rather than create another "Herndon situation" about the public use of
					athletic fields, we should educate the the immigrant community on the
					contained to properties and conducting as earlies in while to put unite
					the immigrant soccer community aware of our culture secondary use of
Hernandez	Luis	5/16/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	public soccer fields.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
				·	a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Concerned Soccer Parents		5/13/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
McHale	Sharon	5/13/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs, on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Balducci	Elaine	5/9/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	should be fined.

L

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		•			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
		•			signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Harp	Kevin	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
	-				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
DeMar	Brian	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turt fields is
	-		•		to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
~					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
			_		referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Merson	Vern	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
		-			75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
	-				a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Brittle	Susan	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
	-				to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Patel	Ella	5/10/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
			•		signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Fehrle	Nancy	5/10/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		-		fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Smith	Robert	5/10/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
				a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Mason	Lianne and Jerry	5/13/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
·				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Reynolds	Denise	5/16/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
				a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Prichard	Ashley	5/14/2006 e-mail	 athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
O'Brien	Jennifer	5/15/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
				75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
				not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
				fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
				signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
		•		a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
Hossini	Shaheen	5/11/2006 e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.

Turk Dan 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields fields to restrict usage to 'b' to to us to restrict usage to 'b' to to use to restrict usage to 'b' signs on any other field, the restriction will be restricted. Will be restricted to the restriction of the restrictio						TT 4. 40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Dan 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields an Kellie 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Mary 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Patty 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields 1u Sleven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						75 to 40 is of no reip. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Fray but
Family Sieven S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Family Fatty S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Family S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Sieven S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Sieven S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Share S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						not pay, only way to restore some or our natural Level I and 2 turi
Dan 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Anny 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Patty 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields au 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields boy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Dan 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Kellie	Turk	Dan	5/11/2006		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Kellie 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
n Kellie 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Ramity 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Patty 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields su 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	-					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
Mary 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields tu 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Family Kellie 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Family S/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	Petersen	Kellie	5/11/2006		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Family						10 or less is a "true" walk-on number. Any teams with more players
g Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields rau 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields sau 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields l Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	Heime	Mary	5/11/2006	e-mail	athletic fields	should pay fees.
g Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Patty 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields sau 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields I Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
g Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields au Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields 1 Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
g Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields au Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields 1 Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
g Family 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields au Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
rau Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Shape Sieven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	Bunting Family		5/11/2006	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
rau Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Salay 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
au Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Salay 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
eau Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	_					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields			•			signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	Killion	Patty	5/11/2006		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	_					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Steven 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields	Rondeau	Steven	5/11/2006		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
Joy 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields						signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
75 to 40 is of no help. Will be not pay. only way to restore the fields is to restrict usage to "to sions. on any other field the	Loesel	Joy	5/11/2006		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
not pay. only way to restore s fields is to restrict usage to "t sions. on any other field the						75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
fields is to restrict usage to "b						not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
sions on any other field, the						fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
and farmer						signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Rosenthal Gladys 5/11/2006 e-mail athletic fields a permit should be restricted	Rosenthal	Gladys	5/11/2006	·	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Murphy	Julia	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turl
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
					a permit should be restricted to 10. Groups violating policy and playing
McGinley	Eric	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	without a permit should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Piy	Brian	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Collymore	Curtis	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Walter	Anna	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Render	Nancy	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Lennox	Chris	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.

					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Belden	Diane	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
		- 			fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Kozero	Bob	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
					not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
			٠		signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Burns	Timothy	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Groth	Lois	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Daughters	Nicole	5/12/2006 e-mail	e-mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
_					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Kissell	Meghan	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	should be fined.

					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
			•		referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Dunn	Reanne	5/12/2006 e-mail	mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 is of no help. Will be just as impossible to enforce. Play but
			•		not pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf
					fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule
					signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without
Lang	Bruce	5/12/2006 e-mail	mail	athletic fields	a permit should be restricted to 10.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
		****			restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
		•			referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Macdonald	Theresa	5/12/2006 e-mail	mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
					any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
			•		restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Cochrane	Heather	5/12/2006 e-mail	mail	athletic fields	should be fined.
					75 to 40 will be of no help. Just as impossible to enforce. Play but not
					pay. only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is
•					to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on
	······				any other field, the number of people playing without permit should be
					restricted to 22, b/c a 23rd person on the field indicates they have a
					referee and they are no longer walk ons. groups caught violating
Barnwell	Jason	5/12/2006 e-mail		athletic fields	should be fined.
			1		

What will be the signage to indicate those areas that are designated for					* written comment received at 4/11/06 public
not pay, only way to restore some of our natural Level 1 and 2 turf fields is to restrict usage to "by permit only" and post field user rule signs. on any other field, the number of players allowed to play without a permit should be restricted to 10.	athletic fields	1	5/12/2006 e-mail	Susan	Douglass

§1.04 Dangerous Devices

A. Guns, Bows and Arrows, etc. [Reserved.]*

*[Firearms, other guns, bows and arrows and other projectile devices, continue to be regulated by subsection A of Section 6 of the Park Authority's Police Regulations, Rules and Permits that were adopted on August 25,1980. For the public's convenience, Subsection A of Section 6 of those regulations reads as follows:

"No person, other than a police officer, shall possess in the park a firearm or other gun, unless it is dismantled or contained within a closed case, or a slingshot, bow and arrow, dart device, or other device designed for high-speed missile projection, except in areas specially designated and posted by the Park Authority as areas in which one or more of these devices are permitted for recreational purposes."

Projectiles. No person shall operate in a park any device or undertake any activity which will cause a projectile to be loosed or propelled which could injure a person or animal or damage property unless the person is operating the device or engaging in the activity in accordance with established park practices as part of a Park Authority managed or permitted activity. Activities and devices restricted under this provision include, but are not limited to, the hitting of golf balls, and the operation of a bow and arrow, crossbow, taser, spear, slingshot, dart device, or other device designed for high-speed missile projection.

- B. Knives. No person shall possess a knife with a blade more than four (4) inches in length within a park except for the purpose of food preparation.
- C. Fireworks. No person shall possess or discharge fireworks in a park without the express written permission of the Park Authority.
- D. Limitation on Prohibition Against Possession of Concealed Handguns. Nothing in these regulations or in any regulations previously adopted by the Park Authority and still in effect shall prohibit the possession in a park of a concealed handgun by active and retired police officers and law enforcement officers, and other persons, who are permitted to carry a concealed handgun in the Commonwealth without a concealed handgun permit as provided in Code of Virginia §18.2-308(B) and (C).

Note: 1.04 A and 1.04 D are no longer enforceable in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Formal repeals of these regulations can occur following the required public hearing process.

§1.05 Domestic Animals

- A. <u>Cages and Leashes</u>. No person shall have in his or her custody within a park any animal, other than a horse, that is not either caged, or on a leash and under the person's control; except that a dog may be under the direct supervision of its owner or their agent without a cage or a leash in an area designated as an off-leash dog exercise area. (See §1.05 (B) Horses below for horses.)
- B. <u>Horses</u>. No person shall ride or lead a horse other than in an area designated by the Park Authority for horseback riding.
- C. <u>Feeding Domestic Animals</u>. No person shall feed any domestic animal other than <u>an animal under</u> his or her <u>own care personal pet</u> within a park unless such feeding is expressly authorized by the Park Authority by a posted sign or in writing.

§1.07 Fishing

Fishing is allowed in a park in accordance with Virginia State game laws unless otherwise posted. Persons fishing in a park shall comply with Virginia State game laws and all special conditions established by the Park Authority.

§1.16 Public Gathering

No person shall organize or engage in a public gathering of more than 75 persons in a park without the express written permission of the Park Authority. For the purposes of these regulations, "public gathering" shall be defined as demonstrations, picketing, speeches, vigils, parades, ceremonies, meetings, rallies, entertainment, games, shows, concerts, picnics, weddings and all other forms of public assembly.

§1.16 - 1 Athletic Field Use

Use of an athletic field that results in a gathering of 40 or more participants, including spectators, shall require the express written permission of the Park Authority.

Board Agenda Item June 14, 2006

INFORMATION -

Policy 405 – Rental Properties on Parklands

In 1992, a grade level threshold was established for Policy 706, Housing. Included in that policy was the provision that "preference for space in Fairfax County Park Authority residential living quarters shall be given to Park Authority employees who are classified as Grade 18 or below, except for Park Managers." In 1997, the Policy Manual was revised and language contained within it was clarified. However, there was no change to the grade threshold for Policy 706, Housing.

In 2000, at which time the policy regarding Rental Properties on Parklands was referred to as Policy 405, the policy was amended to change the grade threshold from S-18 or below to Grade S-20 or below, for preference for occupancy of Park Authority residential quarters given to Park Authority employees who are in Fairfax County's personnel classification system. The only stated exception to this threshold is preference for park managers who choose to live in the park for which they have management responsibility. This change to the policy in 2000 was the first re-grade initiative for this policy.

It is of note that the County's Market Pay Adjustment in 2000 resulted in the re-grading of several job classes from below the S-18 level to above it (e.g., Accountant I, Park Specialist I, Preventive Maintenance Specialist). At that time, two Park Authority staff tenants were re-graded above the S-18 level as part of the Market Pay Adjustment.

In 2000, 76% of the Park Authority merit workforce fell at grade S-20 and below, with 24% of the merit workforce at the S-21 level and above. Currently, 69% of the Park Authority merit workforce falls at grade S-20 and below, with 31% of the merit workforce at the S-21 level and above.

Of particular note is the fact that two current tenants were reclassified from S-19 to S-21 on April 15, 2006, bringing them above the current S-20 threshold.

The percentage of the Park Authority workforce eligible under this policy has only changed 7% since 2000. Because of the precedent that would be set by amending this policy based on reclassifications which affect current rental tenants, and in order to ensure that the grade level threshold consistently falls below the typical grade level for park managers (currently at the S-23 and S-25 grade levels), it is recommended that no change to this policy be made at this time. The two current tenants who are now classified above the S-20 threshold will be given a one year time frame in which to relocate.

Board Agenda Item June 14, 2006

Unless otherwise directed, no change will be made to Policy 405 at this time.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Policy 405, Rental Properties on Parklands

STAFF:

Michael A. Kane, Director Timothy K. White, Chief Operating Officer Elisa Lueck, Manager of Strategic Initiatives and Policy Development

Policy 405 Rental Properties on Parklands

The Authority shall manage structures acquired incidentally along with parkland on the basis of the following criteria:

- 1. Houses and other structures which have been evaluated and determined to be suitable for occupancy or other public uses consistent with park purposes shall be retained, until such time as their continued existence is no longer justified.
- 2. Houses and other structures suitable for rental purposes shall be retained until the parkland is developed, at which time, if not incorporated in the park development plan, they shall be removed.
- 3. Houses and other structures located in any park where development is not imminent, but where the house and immediate grounds are compatible with, and incorporated as part of, an approved park development plan, may be rented in accordance with the following classification of living quarters:

Class I. Houses, facilities or living accommodations, whether or not open to the general public, which should be occupied for purposes of operations or protection of Park Authority-owned facilities, may be rented to an Authority employee, a county employee or the general public provided the tenant has the skills, training and experience to carry out the required facility management functions.

Preference for occupancy of Park Authority residential quarters be given to Authority employees who are in Fairfax County's personnel classification system as Grade 20 or below, except for park managers who choose to live in the park for which they have management responsibility. However, no Authority employee shall be required to accept any such housing as a condition of employment. Any other exceptions to the Grade 20 rule recommended by the Director of the Authority will be brought to the Board for approval.

Class II. Houses on parkland acquired pursuant to provision for life tenancy or other occupancy agreements with the previous property owner may continue to be occupied by the designated life tenants where such use will not unreasonably or unduly restrict the public purpose for which the park was acquired.

4. Fair market rental rates shall be assessed, as recommended by a qualified appraiser or real estate broker designated by the Authority, and shall be adjusted commensurate with the obligations contained in the lease. Rental rates shall be reassessed and adjusted in accordance with market conditions at a minimum of three year intervals; interim rental adjustments shall be made by the Park Authority Board based on the rental rate numbers prepared by the County's Office of Management and Budget.

In support of this policy, staff shall take such measures as necessary to ensure compliance with approved implementation procedures.

Revised and adopted September 27, 2000